IFP Course Report

Course:	WAC Data
Term:	Spring 2017
Instructor:	Multiple IFP Course Instructors are Involved

1. Learning Outcomes and Assessment (What is being used to assess IFP outcomes?)

IFP SLO	Assessment
SLO #1 - Demonstrate effective written	Samples taken from WAC courses scored against the
communication skills by exhibiting the	following WAC Assessment Rubric Factors (see
control of rhetorical elements that include	Attachment A):
clarity, coherence, comprehensiveness,	1. Thesis/Purpose Argument
and mechanical correctness.	2. Organizational Statement
	3. Rhetorical Structure
	4. Mechanics
	5. Clarity
	6. Style
	7. Academic Tone
SLO #2 - Analyze, interpret and evaluate	Samples taken from WAC courses scored against the
information to formulate critical	following WAC Assessment Rubric Factors (see
conclusions and arguments.	Attachment A)
	1. Reasoning
	2. Evidence
	3. Implications and Consequences
SLO #3 - Identify and apply ethical	Samples taken from WAC courses scored against the
standards in the use of external sources	following WAC Assessment Rubric Factors (see
	Attachment A)
	1. Academic Tone

<u>Description of the Assessment</u>. The student samples come from a random selection of WAC approved courses. Instructors of these courses require student to complete a graded writing assignment that counts for a course grade. Students must produce a written product of 5,000 words or more (+- 1000). Students access an online assessment server, complete a consent form and survey, and submit electronically a first and final draft of a near-end-of-term-paper.

2. Scoring (How is student performance being scored? What are the "above," "at," and "below" cutoffs for individual student performance)

Submitted student samples are scored with the WAC Assessment Rubric (see Attachment A). Cut-offs were determined by Core Curriculum Committee and are presented below.

SLO 1 and 2 Mean Ranges

```
1 to 2.25 = Below
2.26 to 2.74 = At
2.75 to 4.00 = Above
(Range 1-4 "Inadequate, Adequate, Effective, and Extremely Effective")
```

```
SLO 3 Score Ranges
```

```
1 to 2 = Below
3 = At
4 = Above
(Range 1-4 "Inadequate, Adequate, Effective, and Extremely Effective")
```

3. Sample (Who is being tested and why? Are you testing all sections? All students? How is your sample representative?)

Courses in the WAC program are randomly selected each semester to participate in the university-wide WAC assessment program. The process is voluntary with approximately a 10% participation rate. Only WAC courses that are also IFP Foundation courses are included in the evaluation process and represented in the data chart in the section below. The Foundation I courses are:

ENC 1101	College Writing I (required)
ENC 1102	College Writing II
ANT 1471	Cultural Difference in a Globalized Society
ENC 1930	University Honors Seminar in Writing (for students in the UHP only)
ENC 1939	Special Topic: College Writing
ENC 2452	Honors Composition for Science
HIS 2050	Writing History
NSP 1195	Being Cared For: Reflections from Other Side of Bed

This report includes ENC 1101 (Required for IFP), ENC 1102 and HIS 2050 respondents since no volunteer samples were obtained for the other courses. NSP 1195 and ENC 2452 have not been offered in three years. ENC 1930 and ENC 1939 have not have not sought WAC approval for three years.

4. Results (Report percentages of students "above," "at," and "below" cutoffs for each course)

Spring 2017 WAC IFP Assessment Results

		SLO #1			SLO #2					
	Above	At	Below	Above i	At i	Below	Above	At i	Below	n
ENC 1101	22	52	18	16	45	31	6	80	6	92
ENC 1102	21	64	18	20	49	34	3	95	5	103
HIS2050	7	6	0	81	4	0	0	12	0	12
Totals	50	122	36	441	98	65	91	187	11	207
Percent	24.15%	58.94%	17.39%	21.26%	47.34%	31.40%	4.35%	90.34%	5.31%	
	% At/Above	83.09%			68.60%			94.69%		
	ENC1101		ENC1102		HIS 2050		Total		\$	
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD		
SLO #1	2.525	0.350	2.501	0.337	2.744	0.205	2.527	0.340		
SLO #2	2.429	0.429	2.350	0.398	2.833	0.266	2.417	0.420		
SLO #3	2.554	0.717	2.396	0.648	2.417	0.515	2.472	0.676		

Longitudinal Data for WAC Courses

	Spring 2015			Spring 2016			Spring 2017			Cum Totals		
	Mean	SD	n	Mean	SD	n	Mean	SD	N	Mean	SD	N
SLO #1	2.52	.371	208	2.67	.347	201	2.527	.340	207	2.572	.353	616
SLO #2	2.36	.446	208	2.47	.385	201	2.417	.420	207	2.416	.417	616
SLO #3	2.56	.602	208	2.72	.591	201	2.472	.676	207	2.584	.623	616

5. Action (What do the results mean to you?)

Recommendations for Improving Assessment Processes.

Recommendations for Improving Student Learning.

6. Attachments

Attachment A: WAC Rubric